Part 1.Policy scoping

1.1 Information about the policy / decision

1.1.1 What is the name of the policy / decision?

NICE Clinical Guideline NG81 - Glaucoma: diagnosis and management (updates & replaces CG85)

1.1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy / decision?

Revised

1.1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims/outcomes)

This guideline covers diagnosing and managing glaucoma in people aged 18 and over. It includes recommendations on testing and referral (case-finding) for chronic open angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension, and on effective diagnosis, treatment and reassessment to stop these conditions progressing.

1.1.4 If there are any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy, please explain how.

This guidance should benefit people aged 18 and over with chronic open angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension, or who are at risk of developing glaucoma.

1.1.5 Who initiated or wrote the policy?

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

1.1.6 Who owns and who implements the policy?

NICE owns the policy. The Department determines whether the policy should be endorsed for Northern Ireland, and, if endorsed, the HSCB / HSC Trusts implement it.

1.2 Implementation factors

Are there any factors which cou aim/outcome of the policy/decis	Ild contribute to/detract from the intended ion? If yes, are they
Financial Plea	se explain:
Legislative	
Other	
1.3 Main stakeholders affecte	d
Who are the internal and extern policy will impact upon?	al stakeholders (actual or potential) that the
Staff	X
Service users	X
Other public sector organisati	ions
Voluntary/community/trade ur	nions X
Other, please specify	Families/Carers
1.4 Other policies with a bear	ing on this policy / decision. If any:
Policy	Owner(s) of the policy
NICE Clinical Guideline CG85 -	
Glaucoma: Diagnosis and Mana	
of Chronic Open Angle Glaucor Ocular Hypertension (endorsed	
in June 2010).	~, ~~

1.5 Available evidence

What evidence/information (<u>both qualitative and quantitative*</u>) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

In developing this guidance, NICE have assessed its equality impact in scoping, consulting and before issuing the final guideline. This process is designed to mitigate the impact on equality. In addition, DoH locally consult on equality and human rights issues.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information
Religious belief	Religion will have no bearing on the guidance.
Political opinion	Political opinion will have no bearing on the guidance
Racial group	Ethnicity will have no bearing on the guidance
Age	This guideline covers diagnosing and managing glaucoma in people aged 18 and over.
Marital status	Marital status will have no bearing on the guidance
Sexual orientation	Sexual orientation will have no bearing on the guidance
Gender (Men and women generally)	Gender will have no bearing on the guidance
Disability (with or without)	Disability will have no bearing on the guidance
Dependants (with or without)	Dependant status will have no bearing on the guidance

^{*} Qualitative data – refers to the experiences of individuals related in their own terms, and based on their own experiences and attitudes. Qualitative data is often used to complement quantitative data to determine why policies are successful or unsuccessful and the reasons for this

Quantitative data - refers to numbers (that is, quantities), typically derived from either a population in general or samples of that population. This information is often analysed either using descriptive statistics (which summarise patterns), or inferential statistics (which are used to

infer from a sample about the wider population).

1.6 Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information recorded in 1.1 to 1.5, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	It was noted during the scoping consultation that suspicion of Glaucoma diagnosis is often first raised by commercial optometrists undertaking routine eye testing. For some groups, such as the homeless or travellers, there may not be access to this commercial service and therefore early diagnosis (and therefore management) of Glaucoma may be delayed. Similarly, because of the commercial nature of this service, people living in socially deprived areas may not have the same access to businesses who may not choose to locate their services in areas of social deprivation, however the committee noted that whilst unable to influence the commercial element of access to services, they would consider the needs of homeless people and travellers when drafting their recommendations.
Political opinion	There is no evidence that different political opinions will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Racial group	It was noted during the scoping stages that glaucoma has a higher prevalence in people who are of black African or black Caribbean descent, however whilst glaucoma is more common in people of black African or black Caribbean descent, the committee considered that the recommendations were suitable for all. The recommendations should help to promote equal opportunity if implemented by reducing variation in practice.
Age	It was noted during the scoping stages that glaucoma more commonly affects people over the age of 70.

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Marital status	There is no evidence that those of different marital status will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Sexual orientation	There is no evidence that different sexual orientation will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Gender (Men and women generally)	There is no evidence that different genders will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Disability (with or without)	During consultation it was noted that some tests used in case finding and diagnosis may not be suitable for some people due to disability. The committee made a recommendation that alternative tests should be used if this is the case.
	The committee have added a recommendation 1.5.1 "Take into account any cognitive and physical impairments when making decisions about management and treatment." The committee also amended recommendation 1.4.10 to state "At each assessment, ask about general health and, if appropriate, factors affecting adherence to treatment, including cognitive impairment and any treatment side effects."
Dependants (with or without)	There is no evidence that those of different dependant status will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.

Part 2.Screening questions

2.1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? (minor/major/none)

(minor/major/mono/				
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none		
Religious belief	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Political opinion	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Racial group	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Age	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Marital status	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Sexual orientation	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Gender (Men and women generally)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Disability (with or without)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Dependants (with or without)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		

2.2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?			
Section 75 category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons	
Religious belief		No evidence to support this	
Political opinion		No evidence to support this	
Racial group		No evidence to support this	
Age		No evidence to support this	
Marital status		No evidence to support this	
Sexual orientation		No evidence to support this	
Gender (Men and women generally)		No evidence to support this	
Disability (with or without)		No evidence to support this	
Dependants (with or without)		No evidence to support this	

2.3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? (minor/major/none)

Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact minor/major/none
Religious belief	The policy will not impact on good relations	None
Political opinion	The policy will not impact on good relations	None
Racial group	The policy will not impact on good relations	None

2.4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

people of different religious belief, political opinion of racial group?		
Good relations category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief		No evidence to support this
Political opinion		No evidence to support this
Racial group		No evidence to support this

2.5 Additional considerations

Multiple identity

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities (e.g. minority ethnic people with a disability, women with a disability, young protestant men, young lesbian, gay or bisexual persons). Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

There will no impact on multiple identity
O C Man the emissional maliant / decision above and in any constant address and
2.6 Was the original policy / decision changed in any way to address any
adverse impacts identified either through the screening process or from
adverse impacts identified either through the screening process or from
adverse impacts identified either through the screening process or from consultation feedback. If so please provide details.

Part 3.Screening decision

3.1 How would you summa	rise the impact of the policy / decision?
No impact Minor impact Major impact	Consider mitigation (3.4 – 3.5)
3.2 Do you consider that th Equality Impact Assessmer	is policy / decision needs to be subjected to a full nt (EQIA)?
Yes - screened in No - screened out	X
3.3 Please explain your rea	son for making your decision at 3.2.
This guidance will impact	on all sections of the community equally.

Mitigation

If you have concluded at 3.1 and 3.2 that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you must consider mitigation (or scope for further mitigation if some is already included as per 2.6) to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations.

•	cy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy ter promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations?
Yes No	
•	ded " Yes ", please give the reasons to support your decision, proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

Part 4.Monitoring

Monitoring is an important part of policy development and implementation. Through monitoring it is possible to assess the impacts of the policy / decision both beneficial and adverse.

4.1 Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy / decision?

The HSC Board will be responsible for monitoring implementation of NICE guidance within HSC. To provide further assurance regarding implementation, RQIA will lead on assessing the implementation of NICE Guidelines

4.2 What data will you collect in the future in order to monitor the effect of the policy / decision?

See above.			

Please note: - For the purposes of the annual progress report to the Equality Commission you may later be asked about the monitoring you have done in relation to this policy and whether that has identified any Equality issues.

Part 5.Disability Duties

disabled people and/or encourage their participation in public life?
No
5.2 Is there an opportunity to better promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage their participation in public life by making changes to the policy/decision or introducing additional measures?
No

Part 6.Human Rights

6.1 Please complete the table below to indicate whether the policy / decision affects anyone's Human Rights?

ARTICLE	POSITIVE IMPACT	NEGATIVE IMPACT = human right interfered with or restricted	NEUTRAL IMPACT
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			X
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			X
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			Х
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law.			X
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			Х
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			Х
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			X
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			X

ARTICLE	POSITIVE IMPACT	NEGATIVE IMPACT = human right interfered with or restricted	NEUTRAL IMPACT
1st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			X
1st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X
.2 If you have identified a likely negativ	re impact who	is affected a	and how?

At this stage we would recommend that you consult with your line manager to determine whether to seek legal advice and to refer to Human Rights Guidance to consider:

- whether there is a law which allows you to interfere with or restrict rights
- whether this interference or restriction is necessary and proportionate
- what action would be required to reduce the level of interference or restriction in order to comply with the Human Rights Act (1998).

6.3 Outline any actions which could be taken to promote or raise awareness of huma or to ensure compliance with the legislation in relation to the policy/decision.	an rights

Part 7 - Approval and authorisation

	Name	Grade	Date
Screening completed by	Jonathan Adair	Acting EO2	25/01/2018
Approved by ¹	Steven White	DP	25/01/2018
Forwarded to E&HR Unit ²			

Notes:

¹ The Screening Template should be approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy this would normally be at least Grade 7.

² When the Equality and Human Rights Unit receive a copy of the <u>final</u> <u>screening</u> it will be placed on the Department's website and will be accessible to the public from that point on. In addition, consultees who elect to receive it, will be issued with a quarterly listing all screenings completed during each three month period.