#### Part 1.Policy scoping

#### 1.1 Information about the policy / decision

1.1.1 What is the name of the policy / decision?

NICE Clinical Guideline NG80 - Asthma: diagnosis, monitoring and chronic asthma management

1.1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy / decision?

New

1.1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims/outcomes)

This guideline covers diagnosing, monitoring and managing asthma in adults, young people and children. It aims to improve the accuracy of diagnosis, help people to control their asthma and reduce the risk of asthma attacks. It does not cover managing severe asthma or acute asthma attacks. The investment and training required to implement the guideline will take time. In the meantime, primary care services should implement what they can of the recommendations, using currently available approaches to diagnosis until the infrastructure for objective testing is in place.

1.1.4 If there are any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy, please explain how.

This guidance should benefit all populations of people with suspected or diagnosed asthma.

1.1.5 Who initiated or wrote the policy?

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

## 1.1.6 Who owns and who implements the policy?

NICE owns the policy. The Department determines whether the policy should be endorsed for Northern Ireland, and, if endorsed, the HSCB / HSC Trusts implement it.

# 1.2 Implementation factors

Are there any f aim/outcome o			ute to/detract from the intended s, are they		
Financial		Please explai	n:		
Legislative					
Other					
1.3 Main stake	eholders at	fected			
Who are the in policy will impa		external stakeh	olders (actual or potential) that the		
Staff			X		
Service users			X		
Other public	sector orga	anisations			
Voluntary/co	mmunity/tra	ade unions	X		
Other, please specify			Families/Carers		
1.4 Other polic	cies with a	bearing on th	is policy / decision. If any:		
Policy			Owner(s) of the policy NICE/DoH		
			NICL/DUT		

#### 1.5 Available evidence

What evidence/information (<u>both qualitative and quantitative\*</u>) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

In developing this guidance, NICE have assessed its equality impact in scoping, consulting and before issuing the final guideline. This process is designed to mitigate the impact on equality. In addition, DoH locally consult on equality and human rights issues.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information			
Religious belief	Religion will have no bearing on the guidance			
Political opinion	Political opinion will have no bearing on the guidance			
Racial group	Ethnicity will have no bearing on the guidance			
Age	This guidance is aimed at all populations of people with suspected or diagnosed asthma, however there was no evidence to support a firm diagnosis of asthma in infants under 5 years old and this is reflected in the specific recommendation for this age group.  Specific recommendations to diagnose asthma in children aged 5-16 have been formulated to take into account the diagnostic certainty (and uncertainty) of history-taking and objective tests performed in this population group, and also the likelihood of symptoms			
	being caused by other conditions.  There was no evidence to support a different method to diagnose suspected asthma in adults aged over 75 years.			
Marital status	Marital status will have no bearing on the guidance			

Sexual orientation	Sexual orientation will have no bearing on the guidance		
Gender (Men and women generally)	Gender will have no bearing on the guidance		
Disability (with or without)	Disability will have no bearing on the guidance		
Dependants (with or without)	Dependant status will have no bearing on the guidance		

<sup>\*</sup> Qualitative data – refers to the experiences of individuals related in their own terms, and based on their own experiences and attitudes. Qualitative data is often used to complement quantitative data to determine why policies are successful or unsuccessful and the reasons for this.

Quantitative data - refers to numbers (that is, quantities), typically derived from either a population in general or samples of that population. This information is often analysed either using descriptive statistics (which summarise patterns), or inferential statistics (which are used to infer from a sample about the wider population).

## 1.6 Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information recorded in 1.1 to 1.5, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	There is no evidence that different religions will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Political opinion	There is no evidence that different political opinions will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Racial group	It was noted during development that there is some observational evidence to suggest that people of South Asian family origin with asthma or symptoms of asthma are more likely to have poorer outcomes and less likely to be diagnosed due to a social stigma among people of South Asian family origin with this condition.
	There was no impact on the recommendations because no evidence was found for the diagnostic accuracies of the objective tests and monitoring methods under review in this guideline being any different in people of South Asian family origin than in other ethnic groups.
	The GDG acknowledged that data were mainly from the white population; formal reference ranges are not available for many ethnic minorities. Notwithstanding this the ratio FEV1/FVC still holds true despite a smaller average lung size in some of these population groups.

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Age	This guidance relates to all populations of people with suspected or diagnosed asthma, however it was noted that optimal management of children is likely to differ from that of adults. The range of licensed medications differs slightly, and the best management options at any stage of severity may not be the same. Recommendations for children are therefore likely to be different than those for adults.
Marital status	There is no evidence that those of different marital status will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Sexual orientation	There is no evidence that different sexual orientation will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Gender (Men and women generally)	It was noted during development that optimal management of asthma in pregnancy may differ from that of non-pregnant people with asthma. There is evidence of worse outcomes of asthma during pregnancy, although this is probably because women sometimes stop taking medication when pregnant rather than because of any intrinsic change in the asthma.
Disability (with or without)	There is no evidence that people with disabilities will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Dependants (with or without)	There is no evidence that those of different dependant status will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.

## Part 2.Screening questions

**2.1** What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? (minor/major/none)

(minor/major/none)				
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact  Level of impact minor/major/no			
Religious belief	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Political opinion	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Racial group	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Age	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Marital status	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Sexual orientation	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Gender (Men and women generally)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Disability (with or without)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		
Dependants (with or without)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None		

2.2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?				
Section 75 category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons		
Religious belief		No evidence to support this		
Political opinion		No evidence to support this		
Racial group		No evidence to support this		
Age		No evidence to support this		
Marital status		No evidence to support this		
Sexual orientation		No evidence to support this		
Gender (Men and women generally)		No evidence to support this		
Disability (with or without)		No evidence to support this		
Dependants (with or without)		No evidence to support this		

#### 2.3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? (minor/major/none) Details of policy impact Level of impact Good minor/major/none relations category Religious The policy will not impact on good relations None belief The policy will not impact on good relations None Political opinion

The policy will not impact on good relations

Racial

group

2.4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?			
Good relations category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons	
Religious belief		No evidence to support this	
Political opinion		No evidence to support this	
Racial group		No evidence to support this	

None

### 2.5 Additional considerations

### **Multiple identity**

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities (e.g. minority ethnic people with a disability, women with a disability, young protestant men, young lesbian, gay or bisexual persons). Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

There will no impact on multiple identity				
2.6 Was the original policy / decision changed in any way to address any adverse impacts identified either through the screening process or from consultation feedback. If so please provide details.				

# Part 3.Screening decision

3.1 How would you summa	rise the impact of the policy / decision?
No impact Minor impact Major impact	Consider mitigation (3.4 – 3.5)
3.2 Do you consider that th Equality Impact Assessmer	is policy / decision needs to be subjected to a full nt (EQIA)?
Yes - screened in No - screened out	X
3.3 Please explain your rea	son for making your decision at 3.2.
This guidance will impact	on all sections of the community equally.

## Mitigation

If you have concluded at 3.1 and 3.2 that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you must consider mitigation (or scope for further mitigation if some is already included as per 2.6) to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations.

•	y/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy ter promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations?
Yes No	
•	ded " <b>Yes</b> ", please give the <b>reasons</b> to support your decision, proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

#### Part 4.Monitoring

Monitoring is an important part of policy development and implementation. Through monitoring it is possible to assess the impacts of the policy / decision both beneficial and adverse.

4.1 Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy / decision?

The HSC Board will be responsible for monitoring implementation of NICE guidance within HSC. To provide further assurance regarding implementation, RQIA will lead on assessing the implementation of NICE Guidelines

4.2 What data will you collect in the future in order to monitor the effect of the policy / decision?

See above.			

**Please note**: - For the purposes of the annual progress report to the Equality Commission you may later be asked about the monitoring you have done in relation to this policy and whether that has identified any Equality issues.

# Part 5.Disability Duties

disabled people and/or encourage their participation in public life?
No
5.2 Is there an opportunity to better promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage their participation in public life by making changes to the policy/decision or introducing additional measures?
No

# Part 6.Human Rights

6.1 Please complete the table below to indicate whether the policy / decision affects anyone's Human Rights?

ARTICLE	POSITIVE IMPACT	NEGATIVE IMPACT = human right interfered with or restricted	NEUTRAL IMPACT
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			Х
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			Х
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			Х
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law.			Х
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			Х
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			Х
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			Х
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			Х

ARTICLE	POSITIVE IMPACT	NEGATIVE IMPACT = human right interfered with or restricted	NEUTRAL IMPACT
1st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property			X
1st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education			X
2 If you have identified a likely negativ	e impact who	is affected a	and how?
2 If you have identified a likely negativ	re impact who	is affected a	and how?
2 If you have identified a likely negative this stage we would recommend that you constitute to seek legal advice and to refer to Humi	sult with your line	e manager to o	determine

6.3 Outline any actions which could be taken to promote or raise awareness of human rights or to ensure compliance with the legislation in relation to the policy/decision.

## Part 7 - Approval and authorisation

	Name	Grade	Date
Screening completed by	Jonathan Adair	Acting EO2	30/01/2018
Approved by <sup>1</sup>	Steven White	DP	30/01/2018
Forwarded to E&HR Unit <sup>2</sup>			

#### Notes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Screening Template should be approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy this would normally be at least Grade 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> When the Equality and Human Rights Unit receive a copy of the <u>final</u> <u>screening</u> it will be placed on the Department's website and will be accessible to the public from that point on. In addition, consultees who elect to receive it, will be issued with a quarterly listing all screenings completed during each three month period.