Part 1.Policy scoping

1.1 Information about the policy / decision

1.1.1 What is the name of the policy / decision?

NICE Clinical Guideline CG186 - Multiple sclerosis: management of multiple sclerosis in primary and secondary care

1.1.2 Is this an existing, revised or a new policy / decision?

Revised

1.1.3 What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims/outcomes)

This clinical guideline updates and replaces Multiple sclerosis: Management of multiple sclerosis in primary and secondary care (NICE clinical guideline 8, this guidance was not endorsed by the Department as it predates formal links with NICE). It offers evidence-based advice on the care and treatment of adults with multiple sclerosis.

1.1.4 If there are any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy, please explain how.

1.1.5 Who initiated or wrote the policy?

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

1.1.6 Who owns and who implements the policy?

NICE owns the policy. The Department determines whether the policy should be endorsed for Northern Ireland, and, if endorsed, the HSCB / HSC Trusts implement it.

1.2 Implementation factors

(2012)

Medicines adherence NICE clinical guideline 76 (2009)

Are there any factors which could contribe aim/outcome of the policy/decision? If y	
Financial Please explain	n:
Legislative	
Other	
1.3 Main stakeholders affected	
Who are the internal and external stakeho policy will impact upon?	olders (actual or potential) that the
Staff	X
Service users	X
Other public sector organisations	
Voluntary/community/trade unions	X
Other, please specify	Families/Carers
1.4 Other policies with a bearing on thi	is policy / decision. If any:
Policy	Owner(s) of the policy
General	NICE/DHSSPS
Patient experience in adult NHS	
services NICE clinical guideline 138	

Condition-specific

Pressure ulcers: prevention and management of pressure ulcers NICE clinical guideline 179 (2014)

Behaviour change: individual approaches NICE public health guidance 49 (2014)

Neuropathic pain – pharmacological management NICE clinical guideline 173 (2013)

<u>Urinary incontinence in neurological</u> <u>disease</u> NICE clinical guideline 148 (2012)

Osteoporosis: assessing the risk of fragility fracture NICE clinical guideline 146 (2012)

<u>Infection control</u> NICE clinical guideline 139 (2012)

Generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder (with or without agoraphobia) in adults NICE clinical guideline 113 (2011)

<u>Depression in adults</u> NICE clinical quideline 90 (2009)

The treatment and management of depression in adults with chronic physical health problems NICE clinical guideline 91 (2009)

<u>Functional electrical stimulation for drop</u> <u>foot of central neurological origin</u> NICE interventional procedure guidance 278 (2009) Faecal incontinence NICE clinical guideline 49 (2007)

Natalizumab for the treatment of adults with highly active relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis NICE technology appraisal guidance 127 (2007)

Nutrition support in adults NICE clinical guideline 32 (2006)

1.5 Available evidence

What evidence/information (<u>both qualitative and quantitative*</u>) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

During scoping the Guideline Development Group recommended the following:-

- When a choice of treatments is available for mobility or fatigue these should be chosen according to the patient preference, this will ensure people have access to an intervention appropriate to them.
- Encourage people to keep exercising after the programme ends for longer term benefits.

In developing this guidance, NICE have assessed its equality impact. In addition, DHSSPS locally consult on equality and human rights issues.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information
Religious belief	Religion will have no bearing on the guidance
Political opinion	Political opinion will have no bearing on the guidance
Racial group	Ethnicity will have no bearing on the guidance
Age	The guideline excluded people under the age of 18 as the number of affected children and young people is small and differential diagnosis and complexity of diagnosis is different than for adults. There was no impact on the recommendations.
Marital status	Marital status will have no bearing on the guidance
Sexual orientation	Sexual orientation will have no bearing on the guidance

Gender (Men and women generally)	The Guideline Development Group wished to ensure that people with Multiple Sclerosis are properly informed and have access to information in relation to pregnancy and family life.
Disability (with or without)	Disability will have no bearing on the guidance
Dependants (with or without)	Dependant status will have no bearing on the guidance

^{*} Qualitative data — refers to the experiences of individuals related in their own terms, and based on their own experiences and attitudes. Qualitative data is often used to complement quantitative data to determine why policies are successful or unsuccessful and the reasons for this.

Quantitative data - refers to numbers (that is, quantities), typically derived from either a population in general or samples of that population. This information is often analysed either using descriptive statistics (which summarise patterns), or inferential statistics (which are used to infer from a sample about the wider population).

1.6 Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information recorded in 1.1 to 1.5, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	There is no evidence that different religions will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Political opinion	There is no evidence that different political opinions will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Racial group	There is no evidence that different racial groups will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Age	The guideline excluded people under the age of 18 as the number of affected children and young people is small and differential diagnosis and complexity of diagnosis is different than for adults. There was no impact on the recommendations.
Marital status	There is no evidence that those of different marital status will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Sexual orientation	There is no evidence that different racial groups will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Gender	There is no evidence that different genders will have any

(Men and women generally)	different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Disability (with or without)	There is no evidence that people with disabilities will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.
Dependants (with or without)	There is no evidence that those of different dependant status will have any different needs, experiences, priorities or issues in relation to the guidance.

Part 2.Screening questions

2.1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? (minor/major/none)

(Illinoi/Illajoi/Ilone)		
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none
Religious belief	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Political opinion	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Racial group	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Age	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Marital status	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Sexual orientation	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Gender (Men and women generally)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Disability (with or without)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None
Dependants (with or without)	No impact on equality of opportunity	None

2.2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?		
Section 75 category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief		No evidence to support this
Political opinion		No evidence to support this
Racial group		No evidence to support this
Age		No evidence to support this
Marital status		No evidence to support this
Sexual orientation		No evidence to support this
Gender (Men and women generally)		No evidence to support this
Disability (with or without)		No evidence to support this
Dependants (with or without)		No evidence to support this

2.3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? (minor/major/none)		
Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact minor/major/none
Religious belief	The policy will not impact on good relations	None
Political opinion	The policy will not impact on good relations	None
Racial group	The policy will not impact on good relations	None

2.4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		
Good relations category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
Religious belief		No evidence to support this
Political opinion		No evidence to support this
Racial group		No evidence to support this

2.5 Additional considerations

Multiple identity

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities (e.g. minority ethnic people with a disability, women with a disability, young protestant men, young lesbian, gay or bisexual persons). Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

There will no impact on multiple identity
There will no impact on maniple identity
2.6 Was the original policy / decision changed in any way to address any
adverse impacts identified either through the screening process or from
concultation foodback. If so places provide details
consultation feedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. It so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
Consultation reedback. If so please provide details.
consultation reedback. It so please provide details.

Part 3.Screening decision

3.1 How would you summaris	se the impact of the policy / decision?
No impact Minor impact Major impact	Consider mitigation (3.4 – 3.5)
3.2 Do you consider that this Equality Impact Assessment	policy / decision needs to be subjected to a full (EQIA)?
Yes - screened in No - screened out	X
3.3 Please explain your reaso	on for making your decision at 3.2.
This guidance will impact or	all sections of the community equally.

Mitigation

If you have concluded at 3.1 and 3.2 that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you must consider mitigation (or scope for further mitigation if some is already included as per 2.6) to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations.

	y/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy ter promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations?
Yes No	
	ded " Yes ", please give the reasons to support your decision, proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

Part 4. Monitoring

Monitoring is an important part of policy development and implementation. Through monitoring it is possible to assess the impacts of the policy / decision both beneficial and adverse.

4.1 Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy / decision?

The HSC Board will be responsible for monitoring implementation of NICE guidance within HSC. To provide further assurance regarding implementation, RQIA will lead on assessing the implementation of Clinical Guidelines

4.2 What data will you collect in the future in order to monitor the effect of the policy / decision?

See above.			

Please note: - For the purposes of the annual progress report to the Equality Commission you may later be asked about the monitoring you have done in relation to this policy and whether that has identified any Equality issues.

Part 5.Disability Duties

disabled people and/or encourage their participation in public life?
No
5.2 Is there an opportunity to better promote positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourage their participation in public life by making changes to the policy/decision or introducing additional measures?
No

Part 6.Human Rights

6.1 Please complete the table below to indicate whether the policy / decision affects anyone's Human Rights?

ARTICLE	POSITIVE IMPACT	NEGATIV E IMPACT = human right interfered with or restricted	NEUTRAL IMPACT
Article 2 – Right to life			X
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment			X
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour			X
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person			X
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time			X
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law.			X
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.			X
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion			X
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression			X
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association			X
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family			X
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights			X

€	enjoyment of possessions & protection of property	X
	I st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education	X
6.2	If you have identified a likely negative impa	act who is affected and how?
	his stage we would recommend that you consult with ether to seek legal advice and to refer to Human Righ whether there is a law which allows you to interfere whether this interference or restriction is necessary what action would be required to reduce the level o comply with the Human Rights Act (1998).	nts Guidance to consider: with or restrict rights and proportionate
6.3 I	Outline any actions which could be taken to promorights or to ensure compliance with the legislation in	

Part 7 - Approval and authorisation

	Name	Grade	Date
Screened completed by	Molly Crawford	EO2	28/11/2014
Approved by ¹	Linda Greenlees	DP	01/12/2014
Forwarded to E&HR Unit ²			

Notes:

¹ The Screening Template should be approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy this would normally be at least Grade 7.

² When the Equality and Human Rights Unit receive a copy of the <u>final</u> <u>screening</u> it will be placed on the Department's website and will be accessible to the public from that point on. In addition, consultees who elect to receive it, will be issued with a quarterly listing all screenings completed during each three month period.